

MINUTES
FAIRVIEW CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING
FAIRVIEW CITY HALL
300 HARRISON
FAIRVIEW, OREGON 97024

JULY 21, 1999 -- 7:30pm

**I. CALL TO ORDER/
ROLL CALL**

Council President Owen called the meeting to order at 7:30pm.

PRESENT: Council President Steve Owen
Councilor Ken Quinby
Councilor Sherry Lillard
Councilor Len Edwards (arrived 9:05pm)
Councilor James Raze

ABSENT: Mayor Roger Vonderharr

STAFF PRESENT: Marilyn Holstrom, City Administrator
Gilbert Jackson, Chief of Police
Jeffrey Sarvis, Public Works Director
Bob Cochran, City Engineer
Roy Wall, Finance Director
John Andersen, Planning Director
Caren Huson, City Recorder

II. CONSENT AGENDA

Councilor Quinby moved and Councilor Lillard seconded the motion to approve the Consent Agenda, consisting of an Intergovernmental Agreement with Multnomah County Roads and the Minutes of July 7, 1999.

AYES: 4
NOES: 0
ABSTAINED: 0

**III. CITIZENS WISHING TO
SPEAK ON NON-AGENDA
ITEMS**

Councilor Owen called for persons wishing to speak on non-agenda items. As there was no response, the session continued.

IV. PUBLIC HEARING

**A.RESOLUTION -
City Fees**

Marilyn Holstrom, City Administrator, reported that staff reviews the City's Fee Schedule annually to ensure that the fees actually reflect the cost of providing a service; fees are established so that property taxes, in general, do not have to pay for a request that is specific. Administrator Holstrom stated that State law requires that fees represent the actual time and cost spent in performing a service, and that the proposed Fee Schedule was before the Council.

Councilor Owen opened the public hearing; as no one approached the podium, Councilor Owen closed the public hearing.

Councilor Raze questioned if all of the proposed fees had been researched and if they were legitimate as far as the cost in providing a specific service. Administrator Holstrom responded that the fees were as close as staff felt they could be as an average amount to provide each specific service. Councilor Raze asked if \$5.00 per 15 minutes for a lien search was accurate. Administrator Holstrom responded that

staff had determined that amount based on performing an average lien search. Councilor Raze commented that it seemed to him that some fees appeared too low while others appeared rather high. Administrator Holstrom stated that all of the department heads had spent time in averaging the duration it took for each service.

Councilor Quinby commented that \$36.00 for the duplication of a video tape seemed excessive, and the 30% administration fee also concerned him. Administrator Holstrom reported that the City's Economist, Ray Bartlett, had proposed the 30% administrative fee as the average cost to cover a service; that fee covers electricity, telephones, and administrative costs. The 30% reflects the City's overhead costs. In response to the \$36.00 for video tape duplication, Administrator Holstrom stated that staff must take the video tape outside the office, remain with the tape while it is being duplicated as it is original evidence, and then return back to City Hall with the tape; the \$36.00 was the closest amount staff could determine. Councilor Quinby stated that the 30% administrative fee seemed excessive. Administrator Holstrom responded that the City's Economist could be asked to review the figure and break it out as to how it was determined.

Councilor Lillard questioned the Home Occupation Permit fee as it used to be included in the cost of a Business License. Administrator Holstrom stated that a Home Occupation Permit is specifically for someone operating a business from their home and that it took staff time to prepare notices to mail to neighbors; the new fee actually just covers the cost for providing that service.

Councilor Owen commented that the water pipe size used to have an "inside" the City and an "outside" the City fee, but that it has been crossed out and substituted with the administrative fee. Jeffrey Sarvis, Public Works Director, responded that water pipe size fees are actual rates set by separate resolutions annually, so the inside/outside fee is actually in the rates and does not belong in the Fee Schedule.

Councilor Owen mentioned that he thought the City's Economist has always been thorough, and that he thought the 30% administrative fee was very reasonable and that the information on how the fee was determined could be brought to the Council at a later date. Councilor Raze commented that 30% for overhead costs was not a high number and he would be inclined to pass the Fee Schedule as presented. After this discussion, Councilor Quinby withdrew his request.

Councilor Lillard moved and Councilor Raze seconded the motion to approve Resolution 22-1999, A RESOLUTION SETTING FORTH AN UPDATED FEE SCHEDULE FOR SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF FAIRVIEW.

AYES: 4
NOES: 0
ABSTAINED: 0

V. COUNCIL BUSINESS

A. REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION - Reimbursement District - J.T. Smith

Administrator Holstrom reported that before the Council was an appeal from J.T. Smith concerning liability for payment of a reimbursement fee as a result of their project's inclusion in the Silent Creek Reimbursement District, which was adopted by Council in October 1997. The Council was being asked to interpret a particular portion of the Reimbursement District Ordinance, which the City also adopted.

Councilor Owen stated that both parties would be given 15 minutes to state their case, and then a Council discussion would be held and a decision rendered. Councilor Owen invited the representative for J.T. Smith to approach the podium.

Attorney Michael Robinson of Stoel Reeves, representing J.T. Smith (developer of Creekside Manufactured Housing Park [MHP]), approached the podium and stated

that Fairview's Reimbursement District Ordinance requires that they be present regarding an uncollected fee. Mr. Robinson commented that he would be reserving a few minutes of his time for any rebuttals. Mr. Robinson stated that their position is that they agree that they are obligated to pay the reimbursement fee, but not until the next building permit occurs. Mr. Robinson added that page 4 of the Council's memorandum from the City Attorney characterizes three issues for the Council: 1) should the reimbursement fee be paid when the improvement is actually being used, regardless of whether a permit has been obtained for such use?; 2) or should payment be conditioned upon the issuance of a permit?; and, 3) does the fact that the use of the improvements predate formation of the reimbursement district preclude the imposition and collection of the fee? Mr. Robinson presented the chronology of both Creekside and Silent Creek MHPs: Creekside hooked up before there was a Fairview ordinance authorizing the Silent Creek Reimbursement District; in June 1996, Jeff Payne wrote to the City's Public Works Director regarding a cost-sharing mechanism; June 1997, Fairview established the Reimbursement District Ordinance; July 1997, Jeff Payne (Silent Creek MHP) applied for a Reimbursement District; March 1998, Fairview Council established the Silent Creek Reimbursement District.

Mr. Robinson read from page 4 of the Public Works Director's Report for the Silent Creek Reimbursement District that "... these connections cannot be included in the district...", and that Table 3 of the Report states that, "...cost of current connections to be collected outside of the reimbursement district process." Mr. Robinson asked the Council to keep in mind that Creekside was already complete when they received a letter indicating that they should have paid reimbursement district fees when the permits were issued. Mr. Robinson concluded by stating that Creekside was not subject to the reimbursement district fee per Table 3 of the Public Works Director's Report, but believe that they would pay their fee at time of the next permitting. In addition, Mr. Robinson commented that Creekside installed sewer lines before Silent Creek was even planned and they feel it is unfair to retroactively apply a fee to a project that is already in place; also, Section 11G of the ordinance states that a reimbursement fee was due upon utilization of a public improvement, and their opinion is that they have not utilized the improvement since the adoption of the ordinance or the formation of the Reimbursement District. Mr. Robinson interprets "utilize" to mean applying for a building permit; however, Silent Creek's argument is that Creekside hooked into the utility before the District was formed but you need to pay the fee now.

Councilor Owen asked the representative of Silent Creek to approach the podium.

Attorney Steven Marks, representing Jeff Payne/Silent Creek, stated that Mr. Robinson was able to get his material into the Council's packet, but that he was unaware of any deadline. Mr. Marks distributed a report to the Council. Paul Elsner, City Attorney, reminded Council that it was at their discretion as to whether they will accept written material during a meeting. Councilor Owen commented that the Council was more interested in the oral testimony and if it included what was submitted in writing, it was fine; however, Council would not take the time during the meeting to read the written report.

Mr. Marks stated that it was their position that the City's ordinance clearly states that if someone uses an improvement built by someone else, that they should pay their fair share. Mr. Marks reported that a letter was written to the City by J.T. Smith asking what, if any, cost sharing reimbursement obligations they might have for street improvements, and in a letter from the City dated January 1997, J.T. Smith was informed in their permit approval that applicable water and sewer assessment fees would apply concerning the utilities installed by Silent Creek. In addition, a May 1997 letter from J.T. Smith to the City Engineer calculates how J.T. Smith feels its costs should be, so it was not even disputable. Mr. Marks stated that everyone

anticipated that a reimbursement district would be formed and that J.T. Smith would be paying to use those improvements installed by Silent Creek. Mr. Marks commented that the only reason there was a dispute is because of the timing of the final formation of the reimbursement district and the timing of J.T. Smith's hook-up to that improvement; by the time the reimbursement district was finalized and adopted, J.T. Smith had already hooked up to the improvements. Mr. Marks stated that J.T. Smith came to the conclusion that the reimbursement district did not permit the City to request the fees as they had hooked up prior to the district's formation, and that Mr. Smith had relied on Section 11A as it relates to "next permitting", whereas Section 11G states that the reimbursement fee was immediately due payable to the City upon utilization of the public improvement. Mr. Marks added that Mr. Robinson was giving the Council a bit of double talk - he was not arguing that he does not owe the money, he was just arguing as to when the money is owed, such as "next permitting", which probably will not occur. Mr. Marks stated that Creekside is using the improvement and should pay for it. Mr. Marks stated that many other properties will be using the improvements and will pay a reimbursement fee, but J.T. Smith was asking the Council to treat them differently. Mr. Marks concluded by stating that the language in the reimbursement district was very clear and that it states, "the reimbursement fee is immediately due and payable to the City by intervening property owners' utilization of a public improvement."

Mr. Robinson rebutted a statement made by Mr. Marks regarding the letter written to the City Engineer in September 1996; the letter was simply asking about the process. The Creekside land use decision was already in the records and a reimbursement fee was not imposed as a requirement for project approval.

Councilor Quinby stated that he wished to disclose that his ex-wife is employed by Stoel Reeves, but that that would have nothing to do with the decision he would be making. Councilor Quinby stated that he would like to interpret the City's Code in black and white, and questioned the definition of "utilize" as it seems to apply to a building permit which J.T. Smith had acquired quite some time before the ordinance was adopted. Mr. Elsner responded that the definition of "utilized" was defined to be read to mean a number of things, such as applying for a permit, or use an improvement, or to connect to a public improvement, or to otherwise use a public improvement. Councilor Quinby wished to clarify that the enactment date of the ordinance language was after J.T. Smith had connected to the utility. Mr. Elsner responded that he believed that was correct; J.T. Smith was utilizing the public improvement at the time the ordinance was enacted and at the time the resolution was enacted - he was in fact hooked up. Councilor Quinby stated that it appears as though the Council was being asked to approve a retroactive fee. Mr. Elsner stated that Council was being asked to interpret their ordinance; they were being asked how they want to apply the ordinance.

Councilor Quinby stated that he believed Council was expected to say it was fair to pay the fee because the development was hooked up to the utility; however, Councilor Quinby stated that he does not interpret the language of the ordinance that way. Mr. Marks commented that the interpretation should be made in Circuit Court. Mr. Robinson stated that the Fairview Code states that they must appear before the Council, but perhaps the Council would like to table their decision for a couple weeks so that he and Mr. Marks could speak privately regarding the matter.

Councilor Quinby commented that it appears as though there were multiple places in the Public Works Director's Report that states that fees would be due when someone applies for a permit, but then it seems that someone changed their mind about the meaning of the reimbursement district and billing. Director Sarvis responded that the difference were pointed out to staff at a later date. Councilor Quinby stated that he did not want to see this issue go to litigation, and that he thought the two parties should get together and work it out; he did not feel good

about the City's position and would like to defer the item for a certain length of time so that the attorneys could work out something equitable.

Councilor Raze mentioned that everyone should pay their fair share and asked if there ever was an intent by J.T. Smith to pay the reimbursement fee, and also asked if any other permit was anticipated to be taken out. Mr. Robinson responded that J.T. Smith has every intent to pay the fee and they do believe that another permit will be taken out as they do intend to expand Creekside, but were not sure exactly when. Mr. Robinson added that the City's Code indicates that a Reimbursement District is good for 5 years, but that a district could be extended twice, so it basically could be legal for 15 years. Councilor Raze stated that he believed the discussion belongs in court, and in order to get it there, Council has to make a decision; however, he was not against deferring the issue for a couple weeks.

Councilor Lillard commented that she did not think J.T. Smith would be present tonight if they really intended to pay the fee; she appreciated the fact that they wanted to bring the issue before Council, but she feels fair is fair - everyone who is involved and takes advantage of improvements should pay, and she also believes that the discussion belongs in court. Councilor Lillard concluded by stating that she believes the City's ordinance is clear and that payment should be made now.

Councilor Owen stated that he did not look at the issue as a "fairness" issue; he looks at it as Council interpreting the Code as it is written. The Code states that someone must have a building permit and that they must pay a reimbursement fee.

Upon agreement by the attorneys, Councilor Raze moved and Councilor Lillard seconded the motion to defer the issue to the Council's August 18th meeting so that the attorneys may meet and discuss the issue in private.

AYES: 4
NOES: 0
ABSTAINED: 0

At 8:55pm, the Council took a ten minute break. At 9:05pm, Councilor Edwards entered the meeting.

B.APPOINTMENT City Council

Councilor Owen asked the first Council applicant, Robb Pierson, to approach the podium. Mr. Pierson stated that he has been a resident of Fairview for five years and that he is employed by Bonneville Power as a procurement manager and analyst. Mr. Pierson commented that he has been involved in City activities for the last four years, being a member of the City's Parks Committee, Library Committee, and Budget Committee. Mr. Pierson stated that he has made the decision that he would like to be more involved in City government and that a good way to do that would be as a City Councilor. Mr. Pierson reported that he likes the small town atmosphere of Fairview and that he likes the fact that we have a City government where its officials work for a living and yet still volunteer.

Councilor Lillard asked if Mr. Pierson was aware of the time commitment which would be required as a Councilor as there are other committees he would be asked to serve on. Mr. Pierson responded yes. Councilor Lillard questioned, given the growth trends in the City, what Mr. Pierson thought the top priorities were for the Council in the next few years. Mr. Pierson responded that Fairview was rapidly approaching build-out and that it needs to prevent becoming a small Beaverton and control growth, but at the same time keep the livability of the area and maintain the autonomy of Fairview and keep it in the forefront; also, the Council needs to get ready to move into the next century. Councilor Lillard asked what Mr. Pierson thought he could contribute to deliberation and decision-making. Mr. Pierson

responded that he was currently a manager where he works and that he was responsible for a \$2.7 million budget; he had a leadership role in the military and would bring those strengths to the Council.

Councilor Raze commented that Fairview really has no services in town, such as doctors, banks, attorneys, etc., and that he feels a broader view was needed in terms of strip malls and services. Mr. Pierson commented that he looks at what has happened in the metro area, and how expansion has occurred and traffic has increased, but he would like to see the services for the residents of the City. Councilor Raze questioned what Mr. Pierson's strong point was. Mr. Pierson responded that he was not afraid of making decisions.

Councilor Edwards asked how Mr. Pierson would live with a decision that may not be to his favor, personally. Mr. Pierson responded that he would bury his personal feelings and hope that negotiation would occur.

Councilor Owen questioned when Mr. Pierson found out about the vacancy, what steps he took to learn what was required of a City Councilor. Mr. Pierson responded that he had discussions with City staff and interaction with the Committees he serves on.

Councilor Owen thanked Mr. Pierson and asked Joanne Holman to approach the podium.

Ms. Holman stated that she has lived in Fairview for 1½ years in the Quail Hollow Mobile Home Park, but that she has lived in East County for 20 years. Ms. Holman commented that she was honored that the Council even looked at her application and invited her to interview. Ms. Holman mentioned that she has been concerned for some time about the rapid growth, but has the attitude that governing issues were being taken care of somewhere by somebody and that those somebodies had a big responsibility; she would simply like to help. Ms. Holman reported that she has been heavily involved in management of small businesses, was a good listener, was fairly organized, and seriously interested in serving on the Council and helping her community.

Councilor Lillard asked if Ms. Holman was aware of the time commitment as a City Councilor. Ms. Holman responded yes, and that she would have no problem with that. Councilor Lillard questioned what Ms. Holman would contribute to deliberation and decision-making. Ms. Holman responded that she has been involved in management for most of her life, that she was a team player and not afraid to speak her mind. Councilor Lillard asked what Ms. Holman thought were the top issues which the Council should be addressing in the next few years in terms of growth. Ms. Holman responded that the City should be careful and not outstrip its resources.

Councilor Raze commented that manufactured housing parks (MHP) were somewhat controversial in Fairview and asked for Ms. Holman's feeling towards them. Ms. Holman responded that she was not prejudiced either way about MHPs; when you are sitting on the Council, the focus should be on the whole of the City and not yourself.

Councilor Owen thanked Ms. Holman and asked Barbara Jones to approach the podium.

Ms. Jones stated that she lives in the Blue Lake Village MHP and thanked the Council for giving her the opportunity to interview. Ms. Jones commented that, as a mediator, she applauded the Council's decision earlier in the evening. Ms. Jones stated that she has lived in Fairview for four years, has seen it grow, and that she loves the Fairview Village idea. Ms. Jones reported that her children were grown,

and that she felt it was time to get on with things she feels responsible for, that being her community. Ms. Jones mentioned that she has been in the insurance business for quite a while and deals with workers compensation issues; she has learned teamwork, responsibility to other people, and as a volunteer mediator, it has been her solace and allows her to sit back and listen to people and help them to make their own decisions.

Councilor Raze asked for Ms. Jones' thought on MHPs. Ms. Jones responded that she feels they are good for some people, for first-time homebuyers, etc., but the thought of adding more MHPs in Fairview was a little scary as she feels there were better ways to utilize the land. Councilor Raze questioned if Ms. Jones had attended many other City Council meetings. Ms. Jones responded that she had attended a few Council meetings.

Councilor Edwards questioned what Fairview should do to maintain its small town atmosphere while experiencing growth. Ms. Jones responded that she felt one good idea was the Village and maintaining the "neighborhood" feel. Councilor Edwards asked if Ms. Jones was aware of the time commitment required. Ms. Jones responded yes and that it was fine. Councilor Owen questioned how serving on the Council would affect her mediation duties. Ms. Jones responded that she is a mediator only two times a month, on Tuesday evenings, but that she could always make arrangements in order to be available for Council.

Councilor Lillard asked what Ms. Jones could bring to deliberation and decision-making. Ms. Jones responded that she makes decisions every day at work and that it was pretty easy for her; she tries to look at everything before she makes a decision as her decision could affect someone in an adverse way. Councilor Lillard questioned what the top issues were for the City for the next few years. Ms. Jones responded that, based on growth, a top priority would be to keep growth equal with protecting the environment, but that we also need to make sure that Fairview stays on the map. Ms. Jones added that she would base all her decisions on what was best for the City, and now what was best for her personally.

Councilor Owen thanked Ms. Jones and asked the last applicant, Donald West, to approach the podium.

Mr. West thanked the Council for reviewing his application, adding that he has been a resident of Fairview for two years. Mr. West reported that he was a Certified Public Accountant in tax consulting and also a realtor. Mr. West stated that with his training and experience, he feels qualified to be an effective member of the Council.

Councilor Lillard asked if Mr. West was aware of the time commitments. Mr. West responded yes, and that he had no constraints for additional meetings. Councilor Lillard questioned what Mr. West could contribute to decision-making and deliberation. Mr. West responded that he has served on numerous Boards and Non-Profit organizations, and that he was familiar with 22 people having to come to a consensus and try to determine what is best for everyone. Councilor Lillard asked what Mr. West thought were the top issues facing the City in terms of growth. Mr. West responded that he would like to see some balanced growth, such as commerce and light industry, and would like to be a part of that move toward balanced growth.

Councilor Owen questioned what Mr. West had done to learn more about Council responsibilities. Mr. West responded that he had spoken with the City Administrator about what might be required and asked many questions.

Councilor Raze commented that he thought this was the first time that the City has had four applications for one Council vacancy, and added that however the vote

turned out, he hoped the others would consider vacancies on other City committees.

Councilor Owen asked all Councilors to write their name on a piece of paper and their choice for the vacant seat. The votes were passed to the City Recorder who announced that the majority of votes were for Barbara Jones. Ms. Jones accepted the vacancy, was sworn in by the City Recorder, and took her seat on the Council.

VI. DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS

A. Police

Gilbert Jackson, Chief of Police, reported that the City's Graffiti Committee was working well. Chief Jackson stated that at mid-year, Police had processed 31 DUUs, and at the same time last year, only 21 were processed. Chief Jackson commented that Metro was looking into making Blue Lake Park non-alcohol.

B. Public Works

Director Sarvis reported that bids were opened earlier in the day for the Salish Ponds Detention Facility, and that most of the bids came in right where expected. Director Sarvis stated that he had spent the afternoon in Gresham to discuss our NPDES Permit, and that Gresham is in the process of compiling all agreements between them and Fairview since 1957 into one document. Director Sarvis reported that the construction of the new City Hall was on schedule and could be completed as soon as December 10th.

C. Community Development

John Andersen, Planning Director, reported that building permits were keeping staff very busy, and that some testing was being performed on the new electronic permitting process. Director Andersen mentioned that conservation boundary markers were being placed on Fairview Lake properties. Director Andersen reported that he had placed a draft copy of the Transportation System Plan before the Council, which they will hear at their August 18th meeting. Director Andersen commented that the Salish Ponds project was moving along very quickly and that the trails were becoming more accessible; the wetlands park is planned to open on October 9th. Director Andersen reported that the City would be posting "no swimming" signs at the Salish Ponds.

D. Finance

Roy Wall, Finance Director, reported that he has been in contact with GTE as to whether City Hall will be able to retain their phone numbers once we move to the new building; GTE's preliminary decision was that we will keep the same phone numbers. Director Wall stated that the City's Sewer Certification process has cleared up some delinquent accounts. In regards to Y2K, Director Wall reported that the State has passed Senate Bill 268 which lists six different criteria, and, if met, provides each city some insurance should any Y2K litigation occur; this insurance will be provided at no extra cost to the City, and on August 4th, the Council will have a resolution before them regarding the Y2K insurance. Councilor Quinby requested that an updated version of the City's Y2K Compliance Log be provided to him at the August 4th meeting.

E. Administration

Administrator Holstrom stated that before the Council was the packet for the City Administrator's evaluation which will be on the August 4th Council agenda. Administrator Holstrom reminded the Council that a Work Session would be held on July 28th, 7:00pm, regarding revenue sources. Administrator Holstrom reported that the City's Holiday Party has been scheduled for December 11th at the Lake House at Blue Lake Park, and that the cancelled Street Faire has been rescheduled for the same neighborhood on Thursday, September 16th. Administrator Holstrom mentioned that two donations had been received, from Rob and Rich Beal and Twelve Mile Disposal, for the City's Time Capsule which will be placed in the new City Hall. No public funds will be used for the Time Capsule, and the Capsule is planned to be placed on Saturday, October 9th, 10:00am, with a dedication ceremony to occur.

Administrator Holstrom reported that the District Attorney had issued his response to Les Moore's request of City documents and ruled in Fairview's favor.

At 10:30pm, Councilor Lillard moved and Councilor Quinby seconded the motion to extend the meeting to 10:40pm.

AYES: 6
NOES: 0
ABSTAINED: 0

F. Legal

Mr. Elsner stated that the City Attorney's written report was before them, and that direction was needed from Council regarding PERS, Fire District 10, and unfunded liabilities. Mr. Elsner reported that the city attorneys from Portland, Gresham, Wood Village, Troutdale, and Fairview all met regarding the issue and are suggesting that the cities pool resources and request a Declaratory Judgment, to be filed in Marion County, with a ruling coming from the court on who actually owes what monies. Council agreed to participate in the Declaratory Judgment.

At 10:40pm, Councilor Quinby moved and Councilor Raze seconded the motion to extend the meeting to 10:50pm.

AYES: 6
NOES: 0
ABSTAINED: 0

VII. MAYOR/COMMITTEE REPORTS AND COUNCIL CONCERNS

Councilor Quinby reported that the Time Capsule Committee continues to meet and collect items for the Time Capsule; donation items had been received from the Texaco StarMart.

Councilor Lillard stated that the East Multnomah County Transportation Committee had met on July 5th and Director Andersen had given a great presentation on the Transportation System Plan. Councilor Lillard reported that the 242nd Avenue Connector Study continues, and stated that she would not be present at the August 4th Council meeting.

Councilor Raze stated that he would not be able to attend the July 28th Work Session nor the August 4th Council meeting.

Councilor Edwards apologized to Council and staff for being late.

Councilor Owen had no reports or concerns.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

Councilor Lillard moved and Councilor Raze seconded the motion to adjourn. Council President Owen adjourned the meeting at 10:46pm.

AYES: 6
NOES: 0
ABSTAINED: 0

Council President Steve Owen

Dated:

Caren C. Huson Quiniones
City Recorder