

Council Worksession
3/29/00

Doug Irving, Oregonian
Doug Aden
Gilbert
Jeffrey

GJ: info only. lost two officers to trtdl due to money issue. have some incontinuity with coverage of shifts. trtdl and muco will provide us documenttion for coverage. either mutual aid as usual. 3 areas of concern: blue lake park/chinook issue, pdx/rv park issue, and pilot project at oaks/woods. we are looking at a levy in november; if that passes, will start reconstructing. we need to look eventually if the levy does not pass.

SO: what's the salary difference betwn fvw and trtdl. GJ: starting salary for them would be \$500 more a onth and the possibility of overtime. they are not starting at the bottom. SO: what's the risk with the officers we have left. GJ: high risk, we need an organized plan for the dept. we have 3 reserves now.

KQ: any changes in the budget proposal to bump anything up. GJ: yes, looking at overtime money - we need to have officers on the road.

LE: I don't want us to be just a training ground and then they move on somewhee else for more money.

GJ: this is a long term problem. takes a year and a half to put an officer on the street. 12 weeks in academy, field training manual aproval, etc.

SL: will overtime remain even after we hire new officers. GJ: thinks overtime is the wave of the future and would probably want to keep that benefit.

JS: suggested an outside agency to promote the levy. RV: we'll keep that in mind.

7:50 - City owned property came up at goal session.

JS: the second page shows the pw bldg and police storage of 15,000sf; heslin house; nachakokee park 15,000 sf; 300 Harrison Street at 8,000 sf lot; crestwood street/shop. MH: parks thing will be coming for you in a few months with the parks master plan.

MH: the purpose of providing this info was that you could look at city owned propertyies and discuss their values currently.

JR: if there are assets that aren't being used or don't make

sense, is aybe to place it back on the tax roll and use the money.
it would be nice to have public owrks in one bldg instead of two.
the old city hall and whether it makes sense to keep it. maybe
there are some assets there that can be turned into cash instead
of being an ongoing expense.

SO: does the City incur any expense on an annual basis for the
heslin house. RW: maybe very miniscule insurance cost; they get
free water and sewer. RV: you can still do some of your needed
projects from your specialized funds.

JR: are there some effciencies which could be done in terms of
land swapping, etc. are there any ideas of value on these sites.

JS: not that i'm aware of; heslin house is sfr and city hall is
single family so would be value of property. other sites have
wells on them.

JS: at a later date we will talk about the long term need of some
of the well sites.

RV: think we need to put a committee together on this topic and do
an indepth study.

8:06pm

RW: general assumptions given for community center (see his
written report)

LE: is there some kind of standard that has to be brought up to be
made into a community ctr. RW: ADA reuiements, standards we would
impose such as safe lighting, parking, etc. LE: some of these
things have to be done obviously, but what we have done in the
past years it has been good enough for staff to be there and hold
council meetings there, etc.

MH: this is a wish list only and offered only as food for thought.
we could just do a few pieces and get the doors open.

RV: i think we need to get a good footing on our budget before we
begin renovations. i really would hate to get rid of it. right
now we're not in a fiscal situatin to spend a lot of m oney on the
building. i would like tohold off on further movement on this
facility until we are ready to begin renovations. SO: staff has
enough to do and don't need to take this project on yet. maybe 6-
12 months we come back and visit this issue. JR: we need to
identify the market.

JR: we have to think about on-going costs too. electricity,
natural gas, cleaning, repairs, etc. also, i don't think the city
is capable of running it on a day to day basis with continual
rental. in his opinion, he didn't think there would be enough use
and it was not spending money wisely.

LE: I definitely want to keep this as a community center. we need

an estimate on staff time, maintenance costs etc.

RV: I think we also need feedback from our residents.

SL: I think there are ways you could maintain it more economically; there's possible fundraising, etc. RW: general fund would pay for the community center and he did not think there was any way a center could pay for itself. maybe you want to look at this again after the november election. council agreed to readdress the issue after the november election or after the first of the year.

KQ: suggested that something be placed in the newsletter that the community center is on the back burner.

8:45pm

MH: clean-up day - we decided last year would be our event. we learned last month that kennel club would not have event. i had said that we would hold ours after all; however, we won't have a full pw crew that day as vacations were granted. gresham is offering a coupon for people to take their yard debris to amazon or elsewhere. we have the cost of the chipper, overtime, taking stuff to amazon, etc. i would like to suggest that we follow gresham's example of offering a coupon and offering our citizens a coupon in our newsletter. JS: i think it would be less or neutral as we would have to pay the \$5 discount to amazon; RV: our turnout here last year was not that great. I would support what Jeff is suggesting and that we try to work out a deal with them. general consensus was that we offer a coupon this year.

RV: but we will promote a street cleanup event this year - last year there were 7 of us and we did halsey.

SO moved to adjourn; LE seconded. 9:02pm